Approved For Release 2000/08/07: CTA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

DOD Evaluation Committee Briefing - 27 September1979

- 1. Introduction/Background
 - a. Tasking and my background
 - b. Early visits to SRI and AMSAA
- 2. Mission
- 3. SRI Visit: 15-17 Nov
 - a. Initial orientation
 - b. Work statement/training
 - c. Criteria for selection of subjects

Well thought of
Above average intel
Thinks well of himself
Articulate
"Artistic"

Adventurous Open minded Successful Muture and stable

- d. Witnessed session
- 4. SRI Visit: 12-15 Dec
 - a. Screening potential subjects
 - b. Review recent SRI experiments
 - c. Review of tapes
 - d. Relationship of subject/interviewer
 - e. Role of outbound team
 - f. Factors that inhibit success
 - (1) Prior knowledge of target possibilities
 - (2) Absence of feedback
 - (3) Doing trivial tasks
 - (4) Use of repetitive target sequences
 - g. Factors that enhance success
 - (1) Interest factor
 - (2) Seriousness of purpose
 - (3) Monitor/interviewer to ask questions
 - (4) Practice with feedback
- 5. Selection of Individuals: Target: 3 individuals
 - a. Considered 251
 - b. Interviewed 117
 - c. Initial Results 30-35 potential candidates
 - d. 14 Feb SRI visited results
 - e. Intelligence background of individuals

WORKING PAPER

WORKING PAPER Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

- 6. Began training late Feb stopped March
- 7. Training with SRI 13 May
 Second phase of training just started
- 8. Training problems
 - a. Environment
 - b. Work related situations
 - c. Availability
 - d. Frequency
 - e. Time of day
- 9. Target Selection Process
- 10. Current Program(s)
 - a. Training at SRI
 - b. "Beacon" situations
 - c. Coordinate work 3 levels
 - d. "Real" situations
 - e. CDEC training
- 11. Development of TCC
- 12. Future Objectives:
 - a. Continue development of individual abilities
 - b. Complete training at SRI (Dec 79)
 - c. Apply RV techniques to "live problems"
 - d. Determine tasking procedures
 - e. Align resources properly
- 13. Evaluation Report

WORKING PAPER

MISSION STATEMENT

(S/ORCON) To establish a program using psychoenergics for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE_VIEWING.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA DECLASSIFY ON: 17 Mar 99 EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

Crant

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 QIA RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

TARGET SELECTION PROCESS

A. TARGETS

- 1. Location
- 2. Activity
- 3. Time

B. METHOD OF TARGETING

- 1. Beacon (Human and objects)
 - a. Initial target pool
 - b. Random (local)
 - Operational designate (i.e. A6E)
- 2. Geographic Coordinates
 - a. Target Pool
 - (1) "Fun Targets"/Initial Orientation
 - (2) Series 200 Map Targets
 - (3) TK Targets
 - b. Operational Designate
- 3. UTM Coordinates

C. DEVELOPMENT OF TARGETS

- 1. Beacon Initial Target Pool
 - a. 50 Targets selected by individual outside project.

And the Miller of the Control of the

- b. Secured by another individual.
- c. How utilized.
- 2. Beacon Random
- 3. Operational Designate
- 4. Geographic Coordinates -- "Fun Targets"
 - a. Pool designed by NCOIC
 - b. Stored in Safe -- Access limited
- 5. Geographic Coordinates Series 200 Map Targets
 - a. Pool designed by Project Manager
 - b. Stored in Safe Access limited

oranty

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 A-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

- 6. Geographic Coordinates TK Targets
 - a. Pool designed by IIPD
 - b. Stored in SSO Area -- Access controlled by SSO.
- 7. Geographic Coordinates -- Operational Designate
 - a. "Customer" Request
 - b. Data stored in safe access controlled by Project Manager.
- 8. UTM Coordinates
 - a. Weekly targets provided by CDEC
 - b. Data controlled by COL Moses, CDEC

Torge or mype T.C. Romas Remarks StatusTarget Identification TRNG ONLY XX ON - GOING UTM / EVENT 1-3 N/A JOAUG ALL HUNTER -LIGGETT CDEC TRNG ONLY ON-GOING ALL ASSIGNED GEO 4 ISEP ALL SAO OACSI SPECIAL TBD TBD 2658 8 TBD 5 AWAIT INFO FROM TBD S 3 TBD 550, 9020 MI GP OPSEC (1) C51, 13 SEP 79 ON-GOING TBD 8 1 S 5 MSEP 7 HUMINT DATA UNDERGOING ANALYSIS (1) C47, 7 SEP, (2) C53 14 SEP X GEO/POLAR 3 4 3SEP 6 31C5+,14SEP,A)C55,14SEP SPECIAL * 1 ACSI: AWAITING INITIAL GUIDANCE TBD TBD TBD 3,6 F\$5 SPECIAL *2 1.8 - ACS1

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

Approved For Release 2000/08/07:-GIA-RDP96-00768R001200310003-3

18 SEP 79

CUT-OFF.

PROJECT STATUS

SG1A

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC) *

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2 .	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable cor- relation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-Hig (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99 EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

^{*}Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

^{**}Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3																															
The second secon		ove GE			Rel							. ,								003	310			- -	NO.	MESSELLE	N. Orchestory	1			3
1,-					<u></u> П									\Box	П									- ·					\prod	_	7
	RKS	RATED					-	- ·								Noise				02.	34	BAINING	RATED YET	OBLEME			<u>.</u>		o HeT	 	
	REMARKS	RPT HAS NOT BEEN	-						*NASE FACTOR		* NOISE FACTOR	-				NON-RATED SESSION				* NOISE FACTOR	* NOISE I ACTOR	TDY-WACKT TOAMME	25 JUL + NOT RATED YET	* NOUSE FACTOR.	-			-	Gosto NOT KATEU		
DIRECT HIT (100%)	7	1.0 1. 2	: 															:: :-			.: <u>?</u> -:						1.5	:	C G at		
HIGH (80 %)	6						 		1 2			- 1	-				•			- -				-					SP.	-	
MODERATE - HIGH (70%)	5		11 11 11 11	:- :::			: 	 			: . :: <u>.</u> ::					-					2 2 2		:: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	-			٠.				
MODERATE (50%)	4					Ē				- <u></u> 			.:			-					•			(A)	3 %		·	/			
LOW-MODERATE(30%)	3	- - -		1		-			0	-	*								⊙ ∧		300									-	::"
LOW (15%)	2		1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: : :	Q					÷							9 -	①							(Q) 1	7	:			
NONE (0%)	1	5	: :				0		*				-	1	O		0			*	*		(4)	Y - 2 - 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4	/	-/ -(%)					
DATE	RATING	\$25 FEB- 3 MAR	4 MAR - 10 MAR	M 11 MAR - 17 MAR	₽4 18 MAR - 24 MAR	25 MAR- 31 MAR	IAPR- 7APR	40 8 APR - 14 APR	SISAPR - ZIAPR	22APR-28APR	29 APR- 5 MAY		13 MAY - 19 MAY	W-20MAY- 26MAY	201 - 2 JUN	NUL 9 - NUL E	580 JUN - 16 JUN	12 JUN - 23 JUN	24 JUN - 30 JUN	- 100r - 730r	800L - 14 JUL	15UUL-21UUL		29 JUL - 4 AUG	SAUG- 11 AUG	12 A.G - 18 AUG	19 AUG - 25AUG	26AUG - 19EP	1 1	9SEP. 15SEP	16 SEP-22SEP
Ap	pre) ve	P)	शु	4	eas 5	16 16	7	18	9	10	717	is	13	14	15	6	17	18	99.	20	293	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30

Approved For Release 2000/08/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001200310003-3

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

ASSIGNED VALUE		TOTAL
1		40
2		48
. 3		36
`. 4		6
5		2
6		1
		0
7		. 9
Not rated		1
Not graded	TOTAL	143

NOTE: 60 sessions adversely influenced by noise or work related problems.